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Abstract

Orthostatic hypotension is a common condition for individuals with stroke or spinal cord injury. The inability to regulate the central nervous system will result in pooling of blood in the lower extremities leading to orthostatic intolerance. This study compared the use of functional electrical stimulation (FES) and passive leg movements to improve orthostatic tolerance during head-up tilt. Four trial conditions were assessed during head-up tilt: (1) rest, (2) isometric FES of the hamstring, gastrocnemius and quadriceps muscle group, (3) passive mobilization using the Erigo dynamic tilt table; and (4) dynamic FES (combined 2 and 3). Ten healthy male subjects experienced 70° head-up tilt for 15 min under each trial condition. Heart rate, blood pressure and abdominal echograms of the inferior vena cava were recorded for each trial. Passive mobilization and dynamic FES resulted in an increase in intravascular blood volume, while isometric FES only resulted in elevating heart rate. No significant differences in blood pressure were observed under each condition. We conclude that FES combined with passive stepping movements may be an effective modality to increase circulating blood volume and thereby tolerance to postural hypotension in healthy subjects.
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1. Introduction

Orthostatic hypotension (OH) is a clinical condition defined as a sudden drop in blood pressure upon upright postural change (Schatz et al., 1996). In the first 3–5 min following head-up tilt (HUT), up to one litre of blood can pool in the lower extremities, resulting in a decrease in venous return and a subsequent reduction in cardiac output by up to 20% (Rowell, 1993; Smit et al., 1999). This condition is common in cases of traumatic brain injury (Luther et al., 2007) or spinal cord injury (SCI) (Claydon et al., 2006; Smit et al., 1999). These individuals will experience venous pooling resulting from sympathetic nervous system dysfunction. In fact, 74% of individuals with SCI experience episodes of dizziness, lightheadedness (pre-syncope) or loss of consciousness (syncope) upon postural change (Ilman et al., 2000). These symptoms may impair the individual during the acute phase of SCI, and in some cases may persist for years (Frisbie and Steele, 1997).
Furthermore, OH interferes with the patient’s rehabilitation, which is necessary to promote neural recovery, prevent muscle atrophy, mitigate osteoporosis, and to maximize clinical outcomes (Dietz and Colombo, 2004). Therefore, OH delays rehabilitation, prolongs bed rest and promotes further cardiovascular deconditioning.

Current OH treatment modalities include the use of elastic compression stockings, abdominal binders, pharmacological measures, and progressive mobilization exercises from supine to vertical posture (Mathias and Kimber, 1998). However, there are many individuals with SCI for whom these treatments are ineffective (Barber et al., 2000). Thus, new therapies are needed to improve orthostatic tolerance. One such approach is the use of functional electrical stimulation (FES) to improve venous return (Laughlin and Schrage, 1999; Smit et al., 1999). We have found that FES can reproduce functional movements, such as walking (Thrasher et al., 2006) and grasping (Popovic et al., 2006). In addition, several recent studies have investigated the use of FES to improve venous return in healthy (Faghrí and Yount, 2002; Man et al., 2003; van Beekvelt et al., 2000) and SCI populations (Chao and Cheing, 2005; Faghrí et al., 2001; Faghrí and Yount, 2002; van Beekvelt et al., 2000). Alternatively, venous return can also be enhanced by exercise, since lengthening and shortening of muscle fibers will contribute to muscle pump activation (Laughlin, 1987). Thus, another approach for improving orthostatic tolerance in individuals with SCI is to create locomotor movements, such as stepping. Based on the rat model, the literature suggests locomotion is more effective for increasing venous return when compared to isometric FES (Laughlin, 1987). To date, one study has examined the effects of passive leg movements on improving orthostatic tolerance in humans (Czell et al., 2004), showing that passive mobilization therapy performed on the Erigo dynamic tilt table was effective in improving OH during tilt. However, there is no information comparing the effectiveness of isometric FES to passive mobilization. In addition to isometric FES and passive mobilization, the combination of the two modalities, which consequently produces a dynamic FES modality, is an untested model which may be effective in improving venous return during head up tilt. Thus, the purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of isometric FES, passive mobilization, and dynamic FES on improving orthostatic tolerance in healthy subjects.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

A convenience sample of ten healthy male subjects who reported no history of cardiovascular, endocrine, or neuromuscular disease participated in this study. Subjects were non-smokers and were not taking any type of medication. Subjects were instructed to avoid alcohol and caffeine for 24 h, fast for 8 h, and drink nothing 2 h prior to the study. All tests were conducted between 1600 and 2200 h, in a quiet room with an ambient temperature between 19 and 21 °C. Approval was acquired from the local university ethics committee and all subjects provided written informed consent.

2.2. Experimental protocol

This study employed a randomized cross-over design, wherein each subject participated in a single session consisting of four 70° head-up tilt conditions. Previous studies have shown that syncope is most likely to occur at angles above 60° (Fitzpatrick et al., 1991):

(A) Control (HUT)
(B) Isometric FES (IFES)
(C) Passive mobilization (STEP)
(D) Dynamic FES (DFES).

Subjects were positioned supine on an Erigo dynamic tilt table (Erigo. Hocoma AG, Switzerland) and secured using torso and shoulder harnesses (Fig. 1). Subjects’ thighs were attached to robotic actuators with soft straps and feet were loosely secured to the foot plates via a strap placed over the metatarsal joints so that the heels could separate from the
foot plates to allow for non-obstructed venous pooling when upright. An automated blood pressure cuff and pulse monitor (HEM-637, Omron Healthcare, USA) was placed over the left brachial artery, which remained at heart level for the duration of the experiment. The cross-sectional area of the inferior vena cava (IVC) was measured by Doppler ultrasound (Acuson Aspen, Acuson Corporation, USA) imaging (Fig. 2). The probe was positioned 1.5 cm below the diaphragm in the hepatic segment of the IVC (Iida et al., 2007; Ishizaki et al., 2004; Krause et al., 2001; Lyon et al., 2005). Participants were scanned in the supine and upright positions prior to the start of the experiment in order to accommodate for vessel movement resulting from postural change. To obtain baseline hemodynamic measurements, all subjects rested in the supine position for 20 min prior to the start of the experiment, although 5 min is sufficient based on the literature (Toska and Walloe, 2002). To control respiration, subjects were instructed to breathe in synchrony with a metronome at a rate of 15 breaths per min. Subjects experienced randomized head-up tilt conditions for 15 min periods. Blood pressure, heart rate and IVC measurements were recorded at baseline immediately prior to each tilt and at the onset, 5, 10 and 15 min interval of tilt. Elevation to 70° from the supine position took approximately 25 s. Following each tilt condition, subjects were returned to the supine position for 10 min to re-establish steady-state hemodynamic conditions. Baseline hemodynamic stability was verified in each case.

2.3. Apparatus and setup

Two programmable 4-channel neuromuscular electrical stimulators (Compex Motion, Compex SA, Switzerland) were used to deliver transcutaneous FES to the left and right hamstring, gastrocnemius, and quadriceps muscle group using 9 cm × 5 cm electrodes at the onset of tilt. The stimulators produced a biphasic waveform with 30 Hz frequency, 300 μs pulse duration, at the maximum tolerable stimulation amplitude (amplitude varied from 17 to 40 mA between subjects) for 15 min. For each muscle, the motor threshold was determined by gradually increasing the stimulation amplitude until palpable contraction occurred. The stimulation sequence, consisting of 24 isometric FES cycles per min, contracted the left gastrocnemius and quadriceps simultaneously with the right hamstring for one half of the cycle, and the right gastrocnemius and quadriceps simultaneously with the left hamstring during the second half of the cycle. Palpable muscle contractions and slight movement of the subject’s legs were evident for all individuals.

An Erigo dynamic tilt table (Erigo, Hocoma AG, Switzerland) was used to induce passive mobilization through the use of robotic actuators. The tilt-table (Fig. 1) induces a stepping motion by alternating hip and knee flexion and extension as well as a small range of ankle dorsiflexion, in a sequence that imitates gait (Czell et al., 2004). When both FES and tilt were used, they were synchronized at 24 steps per min, such that left hip and knee extension coincided with left gastrocnemius and quadriceps stimulation.

Intravascular circulatory blood flow was estimated by Doppler ultrasound (Acuson Aspen, Acuson Corporation, USA) imaging of the IVC in sequential B-mode with a 5 MHz probe. The probe was fixed in place with a custom made holder, which prevented rotational and translational movements of the probe head throughout the experiment. Due to the distensibility of the IVC and the non-pulsatile conditions which resulted in constant velocity flow profiles, the blood flow returning from the lower limbs has been found to correlate closely with the change in IVC CSA (Ishizaki et al., 2004; Krause et al., 2001; Lyon et al., 2005). Therefore, changes in the IVC CSA reflect changes to venous return, and so 1 min recordings of the IVC CSA were imaged for each interval period and frame-by-frame analysis was performed using image processing software (ImageJ, NIH, USA) to calculate the IVC CSA. In accordance with previous studies, the IVC CSA was calculated as the mean maximal cross-sectional areas for each 1 min recording period (Ishizaki et al., 2004).

2.4. Statistical analysis

All values of heart rate, blood pressure, and IVC CSA were normalized with respect to the values measured at the end of each supine rest period immediately before tilt. A three-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to test the independent effect of FES, passive mobilization and...
tilting duration on heart rate, blood pressure, and IVC CSA. Alpha was set at 0.05 for all procedures. Where significant within-subject effects were found with the ANOVA, Dunnett’s post hoc test was used to compare mean values of each condition to the HUT condition. Statistical significance was assumed when p-values were less than 0.05. All data are reported as means ± SE.

3. Results

Anthropometric data from all subjects are presented in Table 1. One subject experienced pre-syncope during the 15 min HUT condition. No subjects experienced any symptoms of pre-syncope or syncope during IFES, STEP or DFES conditions.

Changes in normalized IVC CSA for each condition over 15 min of HUT are shown in Fig. 3. A progressive decline in the IVC CSA from rest was observed for both the HUT (0.80 ± 0.10) and IFES (0.80 ± 0.07) conditions. For the first 10 min of tilt, STEP (1.13 ± 0.15) and DFES (1.08 ± 0.08) conditions were able to increase the IVC CSA from rest. However, STEP (1.02 ± 0.12) was unable to maintain the increased IVC CSA after 15 min of tilt, whereas DFES (1.15 ± 0.07) did manage. By comparing each condition to that of HUT, a 44% (p = 0.04) increase in IVC CSA was observed for the DFES condition after the 15 min interval.

Normalized heart rate was elevated for each condition over the 15 min tilt duration (Fig. 4). During HUT, heart rate increased gradually for the first 10 min (1.35 ± 0.05) but reverted to initial tilt values (1.30 ± 0.03) over the last 5 min of tilting. Similarly, DFES produced a gradual increase in heart rate, with a more pronounced peak occurring at 5 min post-tilt (1.36 ± 0.06). IFES produced an abrupt increase in heart rate at tilt onset (1.44 ± 0.07) which was sustained for the duration of tilt (1.45 ± 0.04). STEP elevated and sustained the heart rate through the duration of the tilt. In comparing each condition to HUT, a 12% (p = 0.02) increase in heart rate was observed during the IFES condition after 15 min.

There was no significant change in the normalized systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) values when compared to the HUT condition. SBP increased slightly over the tilting duration under HUT (1.09 ± 0.02), IFES (1.09 ± 0.02), and DFES (1.09 ± 0.01) conditions. For STEP, SBP (Fig. 5) remained consistent (1.04 ± 0.02) after 15 min. DBP increased during the first 5 min of HUT (1.20 ± 0.04), and then remained constant for the remainder of the condition (Fig. 6). DBP decreased during gradually during IFES. Both STEP and DFES produced an initial increase in DBP, which was attenuated after 5 min of tilt.

Table 1
Summary of subject data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Body mass index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>181.3</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Inferior vena cava cross-sectional area values normalized to supine baseline measurements for each condition over 15 min of HUT. Passive stepping (p < 0.001) and dynamic FES (p = 0.007) were found to exert significant effects on IVC CSA. * indicates P < 0.05 for the post hoc test.

Fig. 4. Heart rate values normalized to supine baseline measurements for each condition over 15 min of HUT. Isometric FES (p = 0.002) and passive Stepping (p = 0.001) were found to exert significant effects on heart rate. * indicates P < 0.05 for the post hoc test.
4. Discussion

This study compared the effects of isometric FES, passive movement and dynamic FES during orthostasis by monitoring the change in blood pressure, heart rate, and blood flow. Our results show that during orthostatic challenges, blood pressure was maintained, while heart rate increased and blood flow decreased. The application of isometric FES was able to increase the heart rate, but did not significantly affect blood flow. Passive mobilization did not produce significant effects. The application of dynamic FES was able to attenuate the decrease in blood flow during the head up tilt protocol. Therefore, dynamic FES has the greatest potential to increase the duration of orthostatic tolerance.

4.1. Blood flow response

During HUT, a 20% reduction in the size of the IVC$_{CSA}$ was observed over 15 min. This is in accordance with healthy subjects’ response to orthostatic stress (Smit et al., 1999). It is interesting to note that isometric FES conditions also resulted in a 20% reduction in mean IVC$_{CSA}$, whereas passive mobilization maintained (2%) and dynamic FES increased mean IVC$_{CSA}$ (15%).

The reduction in mean IVC$_{CSA}$ during isometric FES is in accordance with a study that assessed muscle pump function on venous return in healthy and SCI subjects (Faghri and Yount, 2002). However, contrary to our findings, another study had demonstrated that isometric FES can minimize venous pooling after 30 min of quiet standing in healthy subjects (Man et al., 2003). The disparity between our results when compared to quiet standing suggests individuals may experience differing cardiovascular responses under HUT and quiet standing conditions. This is possible, since brief static contractions in the leg muscles during upright stance will trigger the exercise and baroreceptor reflexes (Borst et al., 1982), thus resulting in an immediate increase in heart rate and thereby affecting blood flow. Furthermore, quiet standing was found to have a greater tendency to induce syncope when compared to HUT (Matsushima et al., 2004), which suggests that autonomic function and cardiovascular response differ between tilt and standing situations. Compared to isometric FES, passive mobilization and dynamic FES were able to either maintain or increase the mean IVC$_{CSA}$, suggesting that these modalities are more effective for maintaining or improving circulatory hemodynamics under orthostatic conditions.

4.2. Heart rate response

Healthy subjects experiencing orthostatic conditions will compensate by increasing heart rate to maintain cardiac output (Rowell, 1993). This was found to be true in our study, as mean heart rate increased by 30% for HUT. The most significant increase in mean heart rate (45%) occurred during isometric FES. Heart rate also increased for passive mobilization (19%) and dynamic FES (27%) but these occurred at levels less than that of the control condition.

It is possible that the main effect accounting for the dramatic 45% increase in heart rate during isometric FES is a consequence of sympathetic activity rather than cardiovascular function. This would help explain how isometric FES and the control condition both resulted in a 20% reduction in IVC$_{CSA}$. In this case, the increased heart rate during isometric FES can be attributed to increased sympathetic activity caused by electrical stimulation. The heart rate response for dynamic FES should reflect isometric FES, but does not. This may be caused by parasympathetic activation resulting...
from a feedback mechanism to equilibrate the increased blood flow and stroke volume thereby counteracting the FES-induced sympathetic activity. This parasympathetic activity can also be used to explain the slight 19% increase in heart rate observed during passive mobilization.

4.3. Blood pressure response

The literature on healthy subjects reports little or no change in SBP, and a gradual increase in DBP when experiencing HUT (Petersen et al., 2000). Our study was able to validate this finding, as SBP increased slightly (7%) and DBP increased gradually (18%) over the duration of tilt. We did not find significant differences in the blood pressures from the control condition for isometric FES, passive mobilization or dynamic FES conditions. This suggests that the regulation of blood pressure in healthy subjects is tightly controlled by the autonomic nervous system, and that any changes, such as increased blood flow or venous pooling will be mitigated by neurohormonal pathways.

4.4. Dynamic FES modality

Our study found that combing FES with passive mobilization significantly increases blood flow when compared to isometric FES or passive mobilization separately. This is important, since many studies in SCI rehabilitation have focused on the use of isometric FES for improving blood flow, but none have assessed the effects of the combination of FES with passive movement therapy during head-up tilt. One study does note that higher blood flow is possible during dynamic exercise, as locomotive movements will cause muscle fiber length changes and thereby produce enhanced skeletal muscle pump activity (Laughlin, 1987). Our finding is further strengthened by two more studies that assessed the differences in skeletal muscle blood flow during isometric and dynamic exercise. In the first study (Laaksonen et al., 2003), dynamic exercise was found to improve blood flow in the quadriceps femoris by 61% when compared to isometric exercise. In the second study (Faghri et al., 1998), hemodynamic functions of healthy subjects was enhanced during isometric FES combined with voluntary tip-toe contractions as compared to each independent modality.

The muscle pump should be most efficient under dynamic FES conditions for two reasons. First, we understand that blood flows freely during the period of muscle relaxation and is obstructed during the period of muscle contraction. Thus, optimal flow should occur during normal gait, where 60% of the stride pattern is spent in the stance phase and 40% during the swing phase (Winter, 2005). Additionally, muscle contractions during isometric FES will involve simultaneous contraction of all the muscle fibers being stimulated, whereas, during dynamic movement, the muscle fibers are activated on unique time frames during the contracting and relaxing phase of the stride pattern. This enhances blood flow as the individual fibers are contracting on a physiologically relevant time scale. Compared to isometric FES and passive mobilization, dynamic FES is the best model to represent this motion as it combines both movement and muscle fiber activation.

4.5. Determinants of blood flow

The major determinants of muscle blood flow during exercise are workload and muscle metabolic rate. It is known that skeletal muscle blood flow during exercise is closely related to power output, regardless of subject’s exercise capacity or training status (Saltin et al., 1998). Thus, by simply increasing the workload during exercise, one can increase muscle blood flow. In this study, we were unable to measure power output. However, it should be clear that dynamic FES provides a higher workload than passive mobilization or isometric FES. Additionally, sustained exercise, will release metabolites that will cause local vasodilatation and capillary recruitment, leading to an increase in muscle blood flow (Rowland and Obert, 2002). Consequently the greatest increase in tissue metabolism, which occurs during dynamic FES, will correlate with the highest increase in blood flow.

4.6. Imaging venous return using Doppler ultrasound

The present study also demonstrates the possibility of measuring venous flow in the assessment of OH by using Doppler ultrasound. Two dimensional ultrasound imaging of the inferior vena cava diameter has been established for the evaluation of venous return under orthostatic stress (Ishizaki et al., 2004). In our study we chose to image the inferior vena cava cross-sectional area for enhanced resolution. A limitation of the ultrasound imaging method is the possibility of motion artifacts in ultrasound data due to lower limb movement during dynamic exercise. To overcome this, steps were taken to secure subjects to the tilt table and fix the ultrasound probe (described in protocol). Another limitation is occlusion of the femoral and brachial vessels by the tilt table harness, which we attempted to avoid using padded harness straps. Although several subjects commented about feeling occluded, IVCCA imaging did not show venous flow reduction or obstruction. In future studies, it would be important to develop a harness system which does not occlude lower limb blood flow during HUT maneuvers. Nevertheless, because the same imaging technique and tilt duration were used for all subjects, changes in intra-subject blood flow were consistent.

5. Conclusion

This study demonstrates the potential use of dynamic FES versus isometric FES and passive mobilization therapy in improving orthostatic tolerance in healthy subjects, possibly due to synergistic effects of combining isometric FES with passive mobilization. Future studies are needed to evaluate the benefit of dynamic FES in individuals with SCI as this method could potentially be used in clinical
practice as a means of improving orthostatic tolerance during the acute phase of SCI and stroke.
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